

Competition in Connections (CiC) Code of Practice Panel

Self Determination of Point of Connection by ICPs

Monday 14 December 2015 10:00

Teleconference

Meeting Notes

Attendees:

Catherine Falconer	(CF)	SSE
Brian Hoy	(BH)	Electricity North West
Ian Cobley	(IP)	Northern Powergrid
Michael Catling	(MC)	Northern Powergrid
Ernest Walker	(EW)	Sterling Powergroup
Paul McGimpsey	(PM)	Scottish Power
Neil Magrath	(NM)	UK Power Networks
Michael David	(MD)	UK Power Networks
Neil Fitzsimons	(NF)	MCCG
Paul Smith	(PS)	Western Power Distribution
Andrew Hood	(AH)	Western Power Distribution
Mark Askew	(MA)	Energy Networks Association
Alexandra Moore	(AM)	Energy Networks Association

Welcome

AM welcomed the group to the first meeting, and members introduced themselves.

Competition act awareness

AM introduced some slides to the group, outlining their obligation to adhere to the competition act, what ENA as the secretariat for the group were doing, such as adding a line to all agendas, as well as guidance notes.

Election of Chair

AM told the group that she had receive one nomination for Chair of the working group from NM, and asked if there were any other nominations. As there were no further nominations, NM became the Chair of the working group.

Review of Working Group timeline

The group reviewed the timeline that was circulated, and were happy with how the work would progress.

Review and revise Proposed Modification

NM discussed the intent for the modification proposal, and how this cannot be changed within the working group. The group looked at the changes to the text, including section 4.12.1, NM asked about the standard design matrix, and NM said that the table doesn't suggest the inclusion of overhead design network. The discussion surrounded that they wanted to make sure there was no ambiguity within the text, as this was something Ofgem had asked for. The group discussed the level of detail that the DNOs should include, with BH saying that he would prefer to not have too much, as this was things could change and they would have to put in new mod proposals. He said they wanted to make clear how much detail they would want from all the DNOs.

The group discussed the table they have inserted for 4.12.1, changing 'standard' to 'common'. They also discussed taking out the 'yes/no' options from the comments section.

The group looked at a 4.12.2 suggested table, and BH said that the original intent was not to require a level of grid assessment. The group discussed whether transformer headroom needed a spare category. NM said that this could go under as transformer capacity.

Identify Future Actions

The group discussed future meeting dates 11th January 2015, AM said that she would send out an invitation. The group discussed how this could be a webinar. NM told the group that he would look over putting together a draft consultation document before the next meeting. AM said she would work on putting together a draft consultation form.

BH said that he was happy to fill in the table as an example for DNOs to see.

NM said that he would add in the notes and changes made to the mod proposal in the meeting.