

| Question | Name / Organisation | Role | Comments | Additional supporting | Action for group | Additional comments |
|--|---|------|--|-----------------------|---|---------------------|
| 1. Do you believe that a template is the best way of capturing these requirements? | Brian Hoy / Electricity North West | DNO | Yes, it makes it easier for stakeholders to review | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Ian Cobby / Northern Powergrid | DNO | We believe a template is the best way of capturing the requirements of the CiC Code of Practice to show compliance. The absence of a template could lead to inconsistency of reporting amongst DNOs and the inability for stakeholders and for DNOs themselves to draw comparison. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Neil Fitzsimmons / Power On Connections | ICP | Yes, the template will help us to compare the DNOs performance relative performance against others and against the COP. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Tim Hughes / Western Power Distribution | DNO | Yes, the template ensures all requirements are captured and facilitates a consistent approach from DNOs | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Catherine Falconer / SSEPD | DNO | Yes | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Neil Magrath / UK Power Networks | DNO | Yes | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Mel Swift / GTC | IDNO | A template is the best way of capturing the requirements so that all DNOs are working to a standard document. In addition all parties can see performance against a consistent set of objectives. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Paul McGimpsey / Scottish Power | DNO | We believe that a template is the best way of capturing these requirements. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| 2. Does the proposal better achieve the relevant objectives? | Brian Hoy / Electricity North West | DNO | Yes | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Ian Cobby / Northern Powergrid | DNO | Yes. Identifying the obligations that require a DNO response on a common template will show whether we are meeting the objectives of the CiC Code of Practice, where we are compliant and where we have moved to meet the relevant objectives. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Neil Fitzsimmons / Power On Connections | ICP | Yes, the common template will make it easier to identify any gaps in the DNO's collective responsibility for: <i>harmonising, to the fullest extent reasonably practicable, the Input Services provided by Distribution Service Providers.</i> | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Tim Hughes / Western Power Distribution | DNO | Yes | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Catherine Falconer / SSEPD | DNO | Yes | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Neil Magrath / UK Power Networks | DNO | Yes, we believe the proposal better achieves the relevant objectives. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Mel Swift / GTC | IDNO | As Ofgem required the reporting requirements to be put in place we believe that this proposal does better achieve the relevant objectives. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Paul McGimpsey / Scottish Power | DNO | We believe the proposal better achieves the relevant objectives. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| 3. Do you agree with having the reporting template incorporated into the Code of Practice? | Brian Hoy / Electricity North West | DNO | Yes This makes it an obligation for DNOs to complete the template. It does require any changes to go through the formal change control process. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Ian Cobby / Northern Powergrid | DNO | No we would prefer it wasn't. If it was included, each time the template was amended it would require a modification proposal to be raised, then debated and consulted upon etc. We'd rather it was attached as an Appendix to the CiC Code of Practice or similar so changes could be agreed by the CiC Governance Panel without the need for this and for changes to take modification proposal route only if something contentious arose. | N/A | The working group discussed this issue and noted that some changes maybe time consuming, as they will need to go through a formal change process. It was noted that even if the reporting requirements was put into an appendix it would still need to go through a change proposal. | |
| | Neil Fitzsimmons / Power On Connections | ICP | Yes, whilst it is recognised that this does make it more difficult to make future changes to the reporting template, incorporating the template into the COP will ensure that DNOs must use the same template to report their performance against the COP. If there is any learning or improvements to be made in future to the template we would expect DNOs to proactively bring forward a change proposal to the COP. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Tim Hughes / Western Power Distribution | DNO | Yes | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Catherine Falconer / SSEPD | DNO | Yes | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Neil Magrath / UK Power Networks | DNO | Yes, we agree that the reporting template should be incorporated into the Code of Practice. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Mel Swift / GTC | IDNO | The reporting template needs to be part of the Code of Practice. We also believe that there should be a document created to support the template that provides the detail of the type of information that is to be provided and identifying the matrices to be shown. There has been various areas in the past where the DNOs have completed templates but each company had a slightly different understanding of what is being recorded had the timescales covered. This would be helpful for all parties when analysing the reports. | N/A | The working group discussed this issue and highlighted that each DNO had the same obligations to demonstrate compliance with the CoP. It was noted that DNOs may address the reporting requirements differently. Therefore best practice is likely to evolve over time. There will still be opportunity to submit later change proposals. | |
| | Paul McGimpsey / Scottish Power | DNO | We agree with having the reporting template incorporated into the Code of Practice. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| 4. Do you think that the proposed template captures all of the reporting obligations of the DNO? Please confirm whether you agree or disagree and also identify any omissions against the relevant | Brian Hoy / Electricity North West | DNO | Please see table here. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Ian Cobby / Northern Powergrid | DNO | Please see table here. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Neil Fitzsimmons / Power On Connections | ICP | Please see table here. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Tim Hughes / Western Power Distribution | DNO | Please see table here. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Catherine Falconer / SSEPD | DNO | Please see table here. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Paul McGimpsey / Scottish Power | DNO | Please see table here. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |
| | Neil Magrath / UK Power Networks | DNO | Please see table here. | N/A | The group noted this response. | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| section in the table below: | Mel Swift / GTC | IDNO | Please see table here. | N/A | The group discussed this issue, with GTC being happy to accept the provisions as seen in section 9.2. |
| 5. Are there any other reporting requirements not captured in the proposed template? | Ian Copley / Northern Powergrid | DNO | No but some headings are missing from the template (for example Accreditation, Authorisation) which may cause confusion. Section or paragraph numbering may change as a result of current and future modification proposals which will affect the reporting template. | N/A | The group discussed this area and noted that a number of headings required revision 4.8 POC accreditation 5.1 Accreditation and 6.1. BH took an action to amend and circulate template around again. |
| | Neil Fitzsimmons / Power On Connections | ICP | No | N/A | The group noted this response. |
| | Tim Hughes / Western Power Distribution | DNO | No | N/A | The group noted this response. |
| | Brian Hoy / Electricity North West | DNO | No. Two minor drafting comments on the Introduction: <input type="checkbox"/> The second sentence in paragraph three beginning "This condition requires...." is quite cumbersome and should be reviewed <input type="checkbox"/> In the last paragraph Code of Practice should be capitalised | N/A | The group discussed this, and were happy for amendments to the text. |
| | Catherine Falconer / SSEPD | DNO | No | N/A | The group noted this response. |
| | Neil Magrath / UK Power Networks | DNO | No, we believe the scope of the proposed template to be appropriate. | N/A | The group noted this response. |
| | Mel Swift / GTC | IDNO | Nothing identified at this time but we believe that when any changes are made to the CoP it needs to consider if the reporting requirements need to be amended. This should be a standard item to be reviewed. | N/A | The group discussed that the mod proposal form included a requirement to review the reporting template, and any changes required to this in light of the proposed modification. |
| | Paul McGimpsey / Scottish Power | DNO | None we are aware of. | N/A | The group noted this response. |
| | 6. Do the reporting tables in the proposed template adequately capture the information that DNOs need to report to demonstrate compliance? | Ian Copley / Northern Powergrid | DNO | Yes they capture the basic information required. Within the report there is plenty of scope for DNOs to add further templates and narrative as they see fit to illustrate a point. | N/A |
| Neil Fitzsimmons / Power On Connections | | ICP | Yes, provided that the DNO provides all of the required supporting data. The template reporting tables do not specifically stipulate exactly what needs to be inserted by the DNO, at his stage such specifics could restrict the level of detail that a particular company could provide. That said the working group should consider changing the 7th paragraph in the template, to specify minimum requirements. (Please see link in additional supporting information). | Please see suggested Text here. | The group discussed that it was felt that DNOs could be obligated to provide some detail that was not necessary. |
| Tim Hughes / Western Power Distribution | | DNO | Yes | N/A | The group noted this response. |
| Brian Hoy / Electricity North West | | DNO | Yes | N/A | The group noted this response. |
| Catherine Falconer / SSEPD | | DNO | We believe that this proposal fully captures and reports on all DNO obligations under the COP. | N/A | The group noted this response. |
| Neil Magrath / UK Power Networks | | DNO | Yes, we believe the proposed tables will provide sufficient evidence of DNOs' compliance. | N/A | The group noted this response. |
| Mel Swift / GTC | | IDNO | Nothing identified at this time but we believe that when any changes are made to the CoP it needs to consider if the reporting requirements need to be amended. This should be a standard item to be reviewed. | N/A | The group discussed that the mod proposal form included a requirement to review the reporting template, and any changes required to this in light of the proposed modification. |
| Paul McGimpsey / Scottish Power | | DNO | We believe the reporting tables in the proposed template adequately capture the information that DNOs need to report to demonstrate compliance. | N/A | The group noted this response. |

Question 4:

| Section | Agree / Disagree | Comments |
|--|------------------|---|
| Connection Application | Agree | |
| Determining whether an ICP can undertake assessment of POC | Agree | |
| DNO Input Services where the ICP determines the POC | Agree | |
| POC assessment using the standard design matrix | Agree | |
| Information Exchanges | Agree | Suggest that the additional table is given a title and a number eg Table 1 for ease of reference. The text in the blue shaded box would be clearer if in white coloured font. |
| Connection Design | Agree | |
| Design Approval | Agree | Suggest that the additional table is given a title and a number eg Table 1 for ease of reference. The text in the blue shaded box would be clearer if in white coloured font. |
| Final Connection | Agree | Suggest that the additional table is given a title and a number eg Table 1 for ease of reference. The text in the blue shaded box would be clearer if in white coloured font. |
| Inspection | Agree | Suggest that the additional table is given a title and a number eg Table 1 for ease of reference. The text in the blue shaded box would be clearer if in white coloured font. |
| Land Rights | Agree | |
| Adoption | Agree | |
| Dispute Resolution | Agree | |

NPg:

Question 4:

| Section | Agree / Disagree | Comments |
|--|-------------------------|---|
| Connection Application | Agree | |
| Determining whether an ICP can undertake assessment of POC | Agree | |
| DNO Input Services where the ICP determines the POC | Disagree | If accepted, Modification Proposal 0001 will add another obligation in 4.6.2 that is not listed and we have concerns about. |
| POC assessment using the standard design matrix | Agree | |
| Information Exchanges | Agree | |
| Connection Design | Agree | |
| Design Approval | Agree | |
| Final Connection | Agree | |
| Accreditation | Agree | |
| Authorisation | Agree | |
| Auditing | Agree | |
| Inspection | Agree | |

Power On Connections:

Question 4:

| Section | Agree / Disagree | Comments | Group Responses |
|--|------------------|---|--|
| Connection Application | Agree | | |
| Determining whether an ICP can undertake assessment of POC | Agree | | |
| DNO Input Services where the ICP determines the POC | Agree | | |
| POC assessment using the standard design matrix | Agree | The text for this part of the template may need to be updated to reflect current CP for Self Approval of Designs | The working group discussed the issue of concurrent modifications, and proposed that two versions could be submitted to Ofgem. One version in the current COP, the other with the suggested proposals. |
| Information Exchanges | Agree | | |
| Connection Design | Agree | The text for this part of the template may need to be updated to reflect current CP for Self Determinations of POCs | The working group discussed the issue of concurrent modifications, and proposed that two versions could be submitted to Ofgem. One version in the current COP, the other with the suggested proposals. |
| Design Approval | Agree | The text for this part of the template may need to be updated to reflect current CP for Self Approval of Designs | The working group discussed the issue of concurrent modifications, and proposed that two versions could be submitted to Ofgem. One version in the current COP, the other with the suggested proposals. |
| Final Connection | Agree | | |
| Inspection | Agree | | |
| Land Rights | Agree | | |
| Adoption | Agree | | |

Question 6:

The format of the template includes the specific obligations that DNOs must report on as a direct extract from the Code of Practice, shown in a blue box. Note that the subsequent paragraph references contained in this document relate to those in the Code of practice and are therefore not sequential. DNOs **shall ~~should~~** complete the black part of the template to demonstrate compliance. **As a minimum, this will ~~could~~ include narrative, and where applicable supported by examples, reference to other documents, web links etc.**

WPD:

Question 4:

| Section | Agree / Disagree | Comments |
|--|------------------|----------|
| Connection Application | Agree | |
| Determining whether an ICP can undertake assessment of POC | Agree | |
| DNO Input Services where the ICP determines the POC | Agree | |
| POC assessment using the standard design matrix | Agree | |
| Information Exchanges | Agree | |
| Connection Design | Agree | |
| Design Approval | Agree | |
| Final Connection | Agree | |
| Inspection | Agree | |
| Land Rights | Agree | |
| Adoption | Agree | |
| Dispute Resolution | Agree | |

SSEPD

Question 4:

| Section | Agree / Disagree | Comments |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Connection Application | Agree | |
| Determining whether an ICP can undertake assessment of POC | Agree | |
| DNO Input Services where the ICP determines the POC | Agree | |
| POC assessment using the standard design matrix | Agree | |
| Information Exchanges | Agree | |
| Connection Design | Agree | |
| Design Approval | Agree | |
| Final Connection | Agree | |
| Inspection | Agree | |
| Land Rights | Agree | |
| Adoption | Agree | |
| Dispute Resolution | Agree | |

UKPN

Question 4:

| Section | Agree / Disagree | Comments |
|--|-------------------------|---|
| Connection Application | Agree | We do not believe there are any omissions to the proposed template in respect of this section |
| Determining whether an ICP can undertake assessment of POC | Agree | We do not believe there are any omissions to the proposed template in respect of this section |
| DNO Input Services where the ICP determines the POC | Agree | We do not believe there are any omissions to the proposed template in respect of this section |
| POC assessment using the standard design matrix | Agree | We do not believe there are any omissions to the proposed template in respect of this section |
| Information Exchanges | Agree | We do not believe there are any omissions to the proposed template in respect of this section |
| Connection Design | Agree | We do not believe there are any omissions to the proposed template in respect of this section |
| Design Approval | Agree | We do not believe there are any omissions to the proposed template in respect of this section |
| Final Connection | Agree | We do not believe there are any omissions to the proposed template in respect of this section |
| Inspection | Agree | We do not believe there are any omissions to the proposed template in respect of this section |
| Land Rights | Agree | We do not believe there are any omissions to the proposed template in respect of this section |
| Adoption | Agree | We do not believe there are any omissions to the proposed template in respect of this section |
| Dispute Resolution | Agree | We do not believe there are any omissions to the proposed template in respect of this section |

GTC

Question 4:

| Section | Agree / Disagree | Comments | Group Responses |
|--|-------------------------|---|---|
| Connection Application | Agree | More detail required on what is being measured and how it is to be presented. | The group discussed this issue, with GTC being happy to accept the provisions as seen in section 9.2 |
| Determining whether an ICP can undertake assessment of POC | Agree | More detail required on what is being measured and how it is to be presented. | The group discussed this issue, with GTC being happy to accept the provisions as seen in section 9.3 |
| DNO Input Services where the ICP determines the POC | Agree | More detail required on what is being measured and how it is to be presented. | The group discussed this issue, with GTC being happy to accept the provisions as seen in section 9.4 |
| POC assessment using the standard design matrix | Agree | More detail required on what is being measured and how it is to be presented. | The group discussed this issue, with GTC being happy to accept the provisions as seen in section 9.5 |
| Information Exchanges | Agree | More detail required on what is being measured and how it is to be presented. | The group discussed this issue, with GTC being happy to accept the provisions as seen in section 9.6 |
| Connection Design | Agree | More detail required on what is being measured and how it is to be presented. | The group discussed this issue, with GTC being happy to accept the provisions as seen in section 9.7 |
| Design Approval | Agree | More detail required on what is being measured and how it is to be presented. | The group discussed this issue, with GTC being happy to accept the provisions as seen in section 9.8 |
| Final Connection | Agree | More detail required on what is being measured and how it is to be presented. | The group discussed this issue, with GTC being happy to accept the provisions as seen in section 9.9 |
| Inspection | Agree | More detail required on what is being measured and how it is to be presented. | The group discussed this issue, with GTC being happy to accept the provisions as seen in section 9.10 |
| Land Rights | Agree | More detail required on what is being measured and how it is to be presented. | The group discussed this issue, with GTC being happy to accept the provisions as seen in section 9.11 |
| Adoption | Agree | More detail required on what is being measured and how it is to be presented. | The group discussed this issue, with GTC being happy to accept the provisions as seen in section 9.12 |
| Dispute Resolution | Agree | More detail required on what is being measured and how it is to be presented. | The group discussed this issue, with GTC being happy to accept the provisions as seen in section 9.13 |

SP:

Question 4:

| Section | Agree / Disagree | Comments |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Connection Application | Agree | |
| Determining whether an ICP can undertake assessment of POC | Agree | |
| DNO Input Services where the ICP determines the POC | Agree | |
| POC assessment using the standard design matrix | Agree | |
| Information Exchanges | Agree | |
| Connection Design | Agree | |
| Design Approval | Agree | |
| Final Connection | Agree | |
| Inspection | Agree | |
| Land Rights | Agree | |
| Adoption | Agree | |
| Dispute Resolution | Agree | |