

f



Competition in Connections Code of Practice – Consultation Response Form

0003 – CiC Code of Practice Reporting Requirements

Email: code.administrator@energynetworks.org

Response Deadline: 17:00 Monday 8 February 2016

Name:	Ian Cobley
Role:	DNO
Organisation:	Northern Powergrid
Email address:	ian.cobley@northernpowergrid.com
Phone number:	01924869412 or 07831860151
Confidential?:	No
If confidential, please specify why¹:	N/A

¹ All responses marked as confidential will not be published on the Competition in Connections Code of Practice website. However, all response forms will be made available to all working group members and the Governance Panel to view.

Questions

1. Do you believe that a template is the best way of capturing these requirements?

We believe a template is the best way of capturing the requirements of the CiC Code of Practice to show compliance. The absence of a template could lead to inconsistency of reporting amongst DNOs and the inability for stakeholders and for DNOs themselves to draw comparison.

2. Does the proposal better achieve the relevant objectives?

Yes. Identifying the obligations that require a DNO response on a common template will show whether we are meeting the objectives of the CiC Code of Practice, where we are compliant and where we have moved to meet the relevant objectives.

3. Do you agree with having the reporting template incorporated into the Code of Practice?

No we would prefer it wasn't. If it was included, each time the template was amended it would require a modification proposal to be raised, then debated and consulted upon etc. We'd rather it was attached as an Appendix to the CiC Code of Practice or similar so changes could be agreed by the CiC Governance Panel without the need for this and for changes to take modification proposal route only if something contentious arose.

4. Do you think that the proposed template captures all of the reporting obligations of the DNO? Please confirm whether you agree or disagree and also identify any omissions against the relevant section in the table below:		
Section	Agree / Disagree	Comments
Connection Application	Agree	
Determining whether an ICP can undertake assessment of POC	Agree	
DNO Input Services where the ICP determines the POC	Disagree	If accepted, Modification Proposal 0001 will add another obligation in 4.6.2 that is not listed and we have concerns about.
POC assessment using the standard design matrix	Agree	
Information Exchanges	Agree	
Connection Design	Agree	
Design Approval	Agree	
Final Connection	Agree	
Accreditation	Agree	
Authorisation	Agree	
Auditing	Agree	
Inspection	Agree	

Land Rights	Agree	
Adoption	Agree	
Dispute Resolution	Agree	

5. Are there any other reporting requirements not captured in the proposed template?

No but some headings are missing from the template (for example Accreditation, Authorisation) which may cause confusion. Section or paragraph numbering may change as a result of current and future modification proposals which will affect the reporting template.

6. Do the reporting tables in the proposed template adequately capture the information that DNOs need to report to demonstrate compliance?

Yes they capture the basic information required. Within the report there is plenty of scope for DNOs to add further templates and narrative as they see fit to illustrate a point.