



Competition in Connections Code of Practice – Consultation Response Form

0001 – Self Determination of Point of Connection by an ICP

Email: code.administrator@energynetworks.org

Response Deadline: 17:00 Monday 8 February 2016

Name:	Neil Magrath
Role:	Regulation Manager – Connections
Organisation:	UK Power Networks
Email address:	Neil.Magrath@ukpowernetworks.co.uk
Phone number:	07875 114825
Confidential?:	No
If confidential, please specify why¹:	N/A

¹ All responses marked as confidential will not be published on the Competition in Connections Code of Practice website.
However, all response forms will be made available to all working group members and the Governance Panel to view.

Questions

1. Do you agree with the amendments to paragraph 4.6.2 of the Code of Practice? (see Appendix One)

Yes, we agree that these amendments are appropriate

2. Do you believe any of the items listed in revised paragraph 4.6.2 to be unnecessary or not required?

No

3. Do you believe there are any other items that should be included in the paragraph 4.6.2 listing?

No, we believe the listing to be appropriate

4. Do you agree with the use of the market segments in the template in 4.12.1?

Yes, we agree.

5. Do you believe any of the items listed in the template in 4.12.1 to be unnecessary or not required?

No

6. Do you believe there are any other items that should be included in the table in 4.12.1?

No, we believe the scope of the table to be appropriate

7. Do you agree with the criteria used in the template in 4.12.2?

Yes, we agree with the criteria used.

8. Do you believe any of the items listed in the template in 4.12.2 to be unnecessary or not required?

No

9. Do you believe there are any other items that should be included in the table at 4.12.2?

No, we believe the scope of the table to be appropriate

10. Do you agree that the modification proposal better meets the Code of Practice relevant objectives? (as shown in paragraph 4.5)

Yes, we agree

11. Do you have any other comments or observations you would like the working group to take into account?

We have no further comments or observations.