



## Competition in Connections Code of Practice – Consultation Response Form

### 0001 – Self Determination of Point of Connection by an ICP

---

Email: [code.administrator@energynetworks.org](mailto:code.administrator@energynetworks.org)

Response Deadline: 17:00 Monday 8 February 2016

<b>Name:</b>	Brian Hoy
<b>Role:</b>	DNO
<b>Organisation:</b>	Electricity North West
<b>Email address:</b>	Brian.hoy@enwl.co.uk
<b>Phone number:</b>	07795447817
<b>Confidential?:</b>	No
<b>If confidential, please specify why<sup>1</sup>:</b>	<a href="#">Click here to enter text.</a>

---

<sup>1</sup> All responses marked as confidential will not be published on the Competition in Connections Code of Practice website.  
However, all response forms will be made available to all working group members and the Governance Panel to view.

## Questions

---

**1. Do you agree with the amendments to paragraph 4.6.2 of the Code of Practice? (see Appendix One)**

Yes

**2. Do you believe any of the items listed in revised paragraph 4.6.2 to be unnecessary or not required?**

All appropriate to be included. ICPs may not need to use them all depending on what sorts of POCs they are determining ie some only relevant for HV POCs

**3. Do you believe there are any other items that should be included in the paragraph 4.6.2 listing?**

No

**4. Do you agree with the use of the market segments in the template in 4.12.1?**

Yes. Whilst they are not perfect in that some segments include multiple voltage levels they have the benefit of being commonly and consistently used by DNOs for other reporting

**5. Do you believe any of the items listed in the template in 4.12.1 to be unnecessary or not required?**

**6. Do you believe there are any other items that should be included in the table in 4.12.1?**

**7. Do you agree with the criteria used in the template in 4.12.2?**

Yes

**8. Do you believe any of the items listed in the template in 4.12.2 to be unnecessary or not required?**

No

**9. Do you believe there are any other items that should be included in the table at 4.12.2?**

No

**10. Do you agree that the modification proposal better meets the Code of Practice relevant objectives? (as shown in paragraph 4.5)**

Yes

**11. Do you have any other comments or observations you would like the working group to take into account?**

No