



Competition in Connections Code of Practice – Consultation Response Form

0001 – Self Determination of Point of Connection by an ICP

Email: code.administrator@energynetworks.org

Response Deadline: 17:00 Monday 8 February 2016

Name:	Mel Swift
Role:	Networks Operations Manager
Organisation:	GTC
Email address:	Mel.swift@gtc-uk.co.uk
Phone number:	01359245454
Confidential?:	No
If confidential, please specify why¹:	N/A

¹ All responses marked as confidential will not be published on the Competition in Connections Code of Practice website.
However, all response forms will be made available to all working group members and the Governance Panel to view.

Questions

1. Do you agree with the amendments to paragraph 4.6.2 of the Code of Practice? (see Appendix One)

Yes, this makes it clearer in terms of the detail that will be provided so that the ICP can understand what additional information will be required from other sources.

2. Do you believe any of the items listed in revised paragraph 4.6.2 to be unnecessary or not required?

No

3. Do you believe there are any other items that should be included in the paragraph 4.6.2 listing?

Any additional information that will only be known to the DNO. This could include position within any potential interactive queue and any additional load information that may be held in other systems.

4. Do you agree with the use of the market segments in the template in 4.12.1?

Yes, this will be useful to understand where points of connection are being undertaken.

5. Do you believe any of the items listed in the template in 4.12.1 to be unnecessary or not required?

This is a good way of holding this information but we are concerned that by having this within the CoP as a template that any necessary changes could be delayed.

6. Do you believe there are any other items that should be included in the table in 4.12.1?

We believe that 4.12.1 captures all of the relevant information

7. Do you agree with the criteria used in the template in 4.12.2?

The information asked for appears to be reasonable criteria

8. Do you believe any of the items listed in the template in 4.12.2 to be unnecessary or not required?

We believe that these are the likely criteria but would like to have the option of adding more criteria or responding to the criteria shown with a not applicable response.

9. Do you believe there are any other items that should be included in the table at 4.12.2?

We feel that there is room to add some additional criteria rows so that if any additional items are identified by the DNOs these can be added as additional information.

10. Do you agree that the modification proposal better meets the Code of Practice relevant objectives? (as shown in paragraph 4.5)

Yes, we are satisfied that this better meets the Code of Practice

11. Do you have any other comments or observations you would like the working group to take into account?

No further comments