



Competition in Connections Code of Practice – Consultation Response Form

0001 – Self Determination of Point of Connection by an ICP

Email: code.administrator@energynetworks.org

Response Deadline: 17:00 Monday 8 February 2016

| | |
|---|---|
| Name: | Catherine Falconer |
| Role: | DNO |
| Organisation: | SSEPD |
| Email address: | Catherine.falconer@sse.com |
| Phone number: | 01738456229 |
| Confidential?: | No |
| If confidential, please specify why¹: | Click here to enter text. |

¹ All responses marked as confidential will not be published on the Competition in Connections Code of Practice website.
However, all response forms will be made available to all working group members and the Governance Panel to view.

Questions

1. Do you agree with the amendments to paragraph 4.6.2 of the Code of Practice? (see Appendix One)

Yes

2. Do you believe any of the items listed in revised paragraph 4.6.2 to be unnecessary or not required?

We believe that all the items are required.

3. Do you believe there are any other items that should be included in the paragraph 4.6.2 listing?

We do not believe there are any other additional items.

4. Do you agree with the use of the market segments in the template in 4.12.1?

Yes. The market segments, as defined by Ofgem, are now well understood and widely used in the industry. Using market segments in this way and template allows other matrices to be lined up against this one.

5. Do you believe any of the items listed in the template in 4.12.1 to be unnecessary or not required?

We believe all to be required.

6. Do you believe there are any other items that should be included in the table in 4.12.1?

We do not believe there are any additional items.

7. Do you agree with the criteria used in the template in 4.12.2?

Yes, we believe it accurately reflects criteria to identify POCs.

8. Do you believe any of the items listed in the template in 4.12.2 to be unnecessary or not required?

We believe all are necessary.

9. Do you believe there are any other items that should be included in the table at 4.12.2?

We are not aware of any other items that should be included

10. Do you agree that the modification proposal better meets the Code of Practice relevant objectives? (as shown in paragraph 4.5)

Yes

11. Do you have any other comments or observations you would like the working group to take into account?

None.